

Hackable Badge Challenge Walkthrough For SANS EMEA & NCSC UK's CyberThreat24

Solution For *"A Nice Edit"* By Badge Challenge Author, Secure Impact's Security Engineer, Nathan Taylor

Just like in the previous challenge, we're prompted to open a serial console to proceed.

[BOOT] Firmware OK [BOOT] Complete. Welcome! There are currently 7 unsolved challenges This challenge carries a high risk of soft-bricking your badge! It's strongly recommended to solve all the others first :) Send a single LF to start the challenge.

On the test badge I'm using here I haven't completed all previous challenges and I'm receiving a rather apt warning that I should finish those first. Accepting that warning a rather more in one's face warning appears.

<pre>!! CAUTION !! ! Optiboot is ins Do not touch hi</pre>	! CAUTION !! !! CAUTION !! talled into high program memory starting at 1FC00 gh flash unless you are prepared to ISP program a bootloader back on!
Application Flash	- 00000h
Boot Flash Section	• 1FC00h • 1FFFFh
(It happened la	st year)
Avoid EEPROM to !! CAUTION !! !	o if you can help it :P ! CAUTION !! !! CAUTION !!
To solve this c	hallenge make it solve itself!

The processors used on the hackable badges are ATmega1284Ps. Like many in the ATmega series of parts this chip lacks any USB functionality. Instead, an FTDI serial converter chip is being used, connected to one of the hardware UARTs. This is how we're able to get a serial console to the badge over USB.

There are a handful of ways to program an ATmega chip, however we're particularly interested in two of them. The first is In System Programming, or ISP. This operates over SPI and allows a programmer to write to flash. The MOSI, MISO, RESET and SCK pins are used when performing ISP. This is notably *not* USB, and delegates were not expected to bring an ISP programmer with them to the event!



To facilitate programming over USB, a small bootloader is provisioned onto the microcontroller that can then use self-programming. This bootloader checks if a computer is trying to enter programming mode, otherwise it hands over to the normal user code. When we dumped flash in the previous challenge this is what we were doing.

But why the warning and long-winded explanation? This challenge is going to require us to *write* to flash. If we erase all of flash and write our new program, we get exactly one try because in the process we would have removed the bootloader! While the bootloader can be reinstalled using ISP it's game-over for USB programming.

avrdude provides the **-D** flag which instructs the programmer to erase the minimum amount of flash required for the new firmware, rather than a complete chip erase. You **must** use this flag at all times unless you have an ISP programmer available for recovery—I had one with me during the event in anticipation of people disregarding this warning.

With all that said, shall we get back to the actual challenge?

From the previous challenge I trust you're now familiar with the process of finding string usages. Let's have a look for that warning message:



This doesn't look super useful; let's check the calling function instead:

www.secure-impact.com



2 void FUN_code_006905(void) 3 4 { 5 byte bVarl; 6 undefined2 uVar2; 7 ushort uVar3; 8 bVarl = BYTE_mem_025b; 9 0 if (bVarl == 0x69) { WORD_mem_166f._1_1 = 0; 1 2 WORD_mem_166f._0_1_ = 8; PTR_mem_1672._1_1 = 0x1b; 3 4 PTR_mem_1672._0_1 = 7; 5 } 6 else { 7 FUN_code_00520b(&DAT_mem_20cf,2,5,0x3f,0x36,0xd2); FUN_code_004265(&DAT_mem_20cf,0x53); 8 9 FUN code 0044a6(&DAT mem 20cf,0x48,0x10,0xd7); 0 FUN_code_0044a6(&DAT_mem_20cf,0x48,0x1a,0xb2); FUN_code_004265(&DAT_mem_20cf,0xal); 1 2 FUN_code_0044a6(&DAT_mem_20cf,0x48,0x28,0xe2); 3 FUN_code_0044a6(&DAT_mem_20cf,0x48,0x32,0xec); uVar2 = HardwareSerial::available(&Serial); 4 5 if (((char)uVar2 != '\0' || (char)((uint)uVar2 >> 8) != '\0') && 6 (uVar3 = HardwareSerial::read(&Serial), uVar3 == 10)) { 7 // Print warning message 8 FUN_code_006863(); } 9 0 if ((DAT_mem_1675 & 2) != 0) { 1 $PTR_mem_1672.11 = 0xlb;$ 2 PTR_mem_1672._0_1 = 0x11; 3 } 4 1 5 return; 6}

What we're seeing here is interesting. There's a check for a specific byte value, and either some code runs, or the challenge prompt is issued. Given the name of the challenge, it's rather safe to assume we're going to need to edit something, and in this case what we need to do is to get to that first code-path.

While we could invert the condition itself, it's somewhat simpler to just change that single byte at mem:025B to be 69_h. We know how memory maps to flash.bin, so we could find out the offset "properly", or we could just do a search for the surrounding bytes.



		Find	d															×			
		T	ext-	strin	g ł	lex-	valu	es	Inte	teger number Floating point number							number				
			Search for: 00 00 00 00 00 01 03 01 00								~										
															Search direction						
			OK Search all Cancel																		
00013DE0	0D	2A	33	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	.*3.				
00013DF0	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00					
00013E00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	A 8	49	01	00	01	00					
00013E10	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00		• • • •			
00013E20	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00					
00013E30	00	00	00	01	03	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00					
00013E40	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00		• • • •			
00013E50	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	01	00	00	00	00		• • • •			
00013260	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00					
00013570	00	00	0.0	0.0	00	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	00	00	0.0					

We can make a simple edit of that first 01_h to 69_h , save, then run the following command to flash the firmware back to the badge:

avrdude -V -v -D -pm1284p -carduino -b115200 -PCOM9 -Uflash:w:flash.bin:r

Congratulations. Your badge no longer works!





The good news is this was expected. If you see anything other than a pure red screen at this point it's likely you didn't flash the badge correctly.

Why, though, are we seeing a red screen? In embedded software development, code integrity is often a concern; these hackable badges are no different. Remember that [BOOT] Firmware OK we've been seeing every time we connect over serial? That's not just flavour text. The first thing the badges do when they power on is validate their own firmware.

You might already have some ideas of how this is performed, especially if you're familiar with AVR, but let's take a look at the code. Following on from the reset handler we can jump through the initialisation code to land at our void main(void)¹ function at code: 905f.

Before the bulk of setup occurs, we can see a large loop, some conditions, and then finally that output of Firmware OK we're looking for. Ignore the GPIO_... names; Ghidra is getting a little confused with register names.



DINES - V. while(true) { bVar7 = WORD_mem_0232._0_1_; bVar20 = WORD_mem_0232._1_1_; bVar2 = WORD_mem_0234._0_1_; bVar13 = WORD_mem_0234._1_1_; bVar8 = (byte)uVar10; bVarl1 = (byte) (uVarl0 >> 8); bVar12 = (byte)uVar14; bVar15 = (byte) (uVar14 >> 8); if (bVarl3 <= bVarl5 && (bVar12 < bVar2 || (byte)(bVar12 - bVar2) < (bVar11 < bVar20 || (byte)(bVar11 - bVar20) < (bVar8 < bVar7))) <= (byte)(bVar15 - bVar13)) break; RAMPZ = (undefined)((uint3)((byte *)CONCAT12(bVar12,uVar10) + 1) >> 0x10); bVar20 = bVar5 ^ *(byte *)CONCAT12(bVar12,uVar10); bVar7 = bVar20 >> 4 | bVar20 << 4; bVar5 = bVar20 >> 3 ^ ((bVar7 ^ bVar20) \$ 0xf0) + CARRY1(bVar20,bVar20) ^ bVar3; bVar22 = bVar11 != 0xff || (byte) (bVar11 + 1) < (bVar8 != 0xff);</pre> uVar10 = CONCAT11(bVar11 - ((bVar8 != 0xff) + -1), bVar8 + 1); uVar14 = CONCAT11(bVar15 - ((bVar12 != 0xff || (byte)(bVar12 + 1) < bVar22) + -1), bVar12 - (bVar22 + -1)); bVar3 = bVar20 >> 4 ^ bVar20 ^ (bVar20 ^ bVar7) * '\x02' & 0xe0; if (bVar3 != 0 || bVar5 != 0) { bVar3 = GPI0_GPIORA; GPIO GPIORA = bVar3 | 0x70; uVar16 = GPIO GPIORB: uVarl4 = CONCAT11(bVar5,uVarl6) & Oxffcf; GPIO_GPIORB = (char)uVar14; bVar3 = GPI0_GPIORB; GPIO GPIORB = bVar3 | 0x40; bVar3 = GPI0_GPIOR1; GPIO_GPIOR1 = bVar3 | 0xf8; uVar16 = GPIO GPIOR2; uVarl4 = CONCAT11((char)(uVarl4 >> 8),uVarl6) | 0xf8; GPIO GPIOR2 = (char)uVar14; *(undefined3 *)(iVar21 + -2) = 0x90e2; BOOT(uVar14); do { // WARNING: Do nothing block with infinite loop } while(true); *(undefined *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b4,DAT_mem_17b3) = 2; *(undefined *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b0,DAT_mem_17af) = 0; *(undefined *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b2,DAT_mem_17b1) = 0xcf; DAT mem 17bb = 0; *(undefined *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b8,DAT_mem_17b7) = 6; *(byte *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b6,DAT_mem_17b5) = *(byte *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b6,DAT_mem_17b5) + 0x98 *(byte *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b6,DAT_mem_17b5) = *(byte *)CONCAT11(DAT_mem_17b6,DAT_mem_17b5) & 0xdf uVar16 = 0xc; *(undefined3 *)(iVar21 + -2) = 0x9110; Print::println(sSerial,s_[BOOT]_Firmware_OK_mem_0c4b); bVar3 = GPIO GPIORA:

You would be correct to reason that this is our firmware check. We first have a loop that calculates a checksum, compares it to 0, and errors if it is not zero. Reasoning from this, we need to amend our firmware such that the checksum comes out as 0000_h . This poses two questions: what checksum is being performed, and how do we ensure its value?

Both are relatively simple questions to answer. The AVR compiler toolchain includes a number of predefined CRC implementations in crc16.h. If we compare these to the disassembly of the firmware, that loop reveals itself to be repeated calls to _crc_xmodem_update.



To make the checksum valid, we *could* just edit any random bytes in the firmware. If that feels like an icky thought it's because it is. This is generally the point to stop and think "what did the original software engineer do here?". There's a very common place for CRCs and corrective bytes to appear in firmware, and that's tacked onto the end of the firmware blob, after the compiler has done its dues.

If we go and look at flash.bin, we can see two seemingly random bytes after what's quite clearly a bunch of strings. The especially astute may also have noticed that the initialisation data for .data ended at 149A6_h, meaning these two bytes were tacked on afterwards.

ounco.onuxe.opuo	00	<u>v</u> 1	10	55	~~		05	<u>v</u> ±	UL	55	~~	10	00	00	<u>v</u> 1	4.4	00011000
eTrash.Doom.Retu	75	74	65	52	00	6D	6F	6F	44	00	68	73	61	72	54	65	000148E0
rn.Settings.Set	20	74	65	53	00	73	67	6E	69	74	74	65	53	00	6E	72	000148F0
Name.Set Alias.S	53	00	73	61	69	6C	41	20	74	65	53	00	65	6D	61	4E	00014900
ystem.Challenges	73	65	67	6E	65	6C	6C	61	68	43	00	6D	65	74	73	79	00014910
.Numericism.Worl	6C	72	6F	57	00	6D	73	69	63	69	72	65	6D	75	4E	00	00014920
d 1-1.Paint by N	4E	20	79	62	20	74	6E	69	61	50	00	31	2D	31	20	64	00014930
umbers.Flashy.Bl	6C	42	00	79	68	73	61	6C	46	00	73	72	65	62	6D	75	00014940
inkenlights.Lost	74	73	6F	4C	00	73	74	68	67	69	6C	6E	65	6B	6E	69	00014950
Something.Scanx	78	6E	61	63	53	00	67	6E	69	68	74	65	6D	6F	53	20	00014960
iety.Echo Servic	63	69	76	72	65	53	20	6F	68	63	45	00	79	74	65	69	00014970
e.A Nice Edit.Ma	61	4D	00	74	69	64	45	20	65	63	69	4E	20	41	00	65	00014980
in Menu.Back to	20	6F	74	20	6B	63	61	42	00	75	6E	65	4D	20	6E	69	00014990
Badge.ûw									77	FB	00	65	67	64	61	42	000149A0

A convenient quirk of CRC16 XMODEM (and of many other CRCs) is adding the compute CRC to the end of a block of data causes its CRC to now calculate as 0. We can sanity check our previous assumption by calculating the CRC of the un-edited firmware without the last two bytes, and we receive FB77 as expected. If we calculate the checksum *with* our edit, we instead receive D69D. Let's edit that into our firmware and then re-flash to the badge.

At this point, your badge should now be taking you back to the name display as normal, but the challenge isn't marked as completed. Head into the challenges menu, select A Nice Edit, and you'll see the challenge completed display.

Congratulations! That's all 9 of the challenges for the CyberThreat 2024 badge! Hopefully you learnt some things along the way, or at the very least had as much fun with them as I did writing them 😂.

¹That's not a familiar signature for main? If you're used to programming for desktop platforms, you can expect to have a C runtime that handles parsing command line arguments, passes them to main, then uses the return value from main as a process exit code. We have none of that in embedded land! The arguments to main are therefore going to be empty. Depending on the specific framework being used it may be acceptable to return from main. For example, this project was compiled using PlatformIO and a Wiringbased framework. In this instance, a return from main would land in _exit which contains an infinite loop. This is often not the case, and it's generally advised to ensure that you never return from main when writing embedded code.



To highlight this distinction and avoid confusion, I often opt to name my main function something like _entry instead, but the framework used here doesn't play so nice with that.